

From: kathyvirkus@mris.com
Sent: Friday, January 15, 2010 6:04pm
To: "Michelle Layton" <mul1@earthlink.net>
Subject: SWM [*Storm Water Management*]

I did meet with Mark C. Etheridge with Permitting Services and Pearce C. Wroe Design Engineer for the Thomas Building/Goddard School. Did a walk around the community of Sandy Spring Village. Mr. Etheridge does not have a problem with the "storm water" that will be brought through the existing SWM [*Storm Water Management*] to discharge on the vacant lot behind units 1000-1010. He didn't think that more "storm water" being discharged onto that lot will add any additional water to the problem that exists behind that group of townhouses. Mark Etheridge cited a ground water problem area behind units 1035-1051. This is the site to the West of the Thomas Building/Goddard School and will need to be taken into consideration at Site Plan and Design. SWM may need to be amended so as not to add to the "high" water table that already exists. Mr. Etheridge thought it would be of benefit for the Engineer Pearce Wroe to give "expert testimony" to explain the SWM at Site Plan.

The project is not going to try to get approval for the May 1st, 2010 deadline, they have agreed to meet the new standards. The SWM may not and most likely will not be installed underground... We will still lose our trees to SWM and development. They will be implementing "bio Retention" and a "Rain Garden". The SWM will be using the existing storm drains that are on the private property of Sandy Spring Village and are maintained by Sandy Spring Village.

Mark Etheridge suggested we read the "reforestation law" to see if we can have 100% of the reforestation to take place on the site... Mr. Etheridge suggested that we contact Candy Binog at Park and Planning to get a copy of the law and become familiar with the reforestation laws.

Hope this makes some sense, it is difficult talking to engineers as they speak a different language...

Kathy V.